

stand on the floor in support of Senator Newell for at least one time in the twilight hours of this session. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Shirley Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Thank you Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I rise to oppose Senator Lamb's indefinite postpone motion for a number of reasons, included is my real surprise, the very first time I learned that there were people who chose not to register to vote so that they would never be called for jury duty. Now some of those same individuals may be nondrivers, but most of them are recipients of drivers' licenses. I think we would find, Senator Howard Peterson, we would have more people registering to vote when they knew that that would happen to be on a voter list would not be the only way that individual might be called for jury duty. We have learned, because of what Senator Barrett said, that the committee amendments were necessary to make this bill a constitutional piece of legislation. I did support the bill. I do support the amendments which were added by the committee. I want to be supportive of constitutional pieces of legislation. I do not support the indefinite postponement. Your counties can cope and if there is a way to make it more "palatable another year", I'll work on that. But, I think it is important that we say, if you are on either a driver's license list or a register to voter list we ought to be able to have a broader segment of our community be available in a pool. I do agree with one of the speakers this morning, I would like to know that the people who were sitting in judgment of me were registered voters, but unfortunately we found that that does not always supply us with the kind of background group from which to call our pool. This is an attempt to do something. Let's try it for a year. You know as well as I do if it really doesn't work, this body will be here next year, there will be some new faces, but we could change it then if it is the monstrosity that some behind the glass doors would have us think it is. I do not believe it is as bad as some imagine and I have already said that I would be glad to work with people for amendments next year if necessary. But I do support the bill and I am opposed to the indefinite postponement motion.